


Analysis of the Role of the Kontras Institution in Advocating for Cases of Human Rights Violations in the City of Medan

Muhammad Taufik Rahman¹, Hudalah Husni², Sri Rahayu Putri³

^{1,3}Faculty of Social Science and Political Science, North Sumatra Islamic University (UISU), Indonesia

²Faculty of Psychology, Muhammadiyah University of Aceh, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
<p>Article history:</p> <p>Received Jan 5, 2024 Revised Jan 19, 2024 Accepted Jan 30, 2024</p> <p>Keywords:</p> <p>Kontras Institution; Human rights advocacy; Medan; Human rights violations; Indonesia.</p>	<p>This research examines the role of the Kontras Institution in advocating for cases of human rights violations in the city of Medan, Indonesia. Through a mixed-methods approach incorporating qualitative and quantitative analysis, the study explores the organization's activities, challenges, and impact on human rights advocacy in Medan. Data was collected through interviews with key stakeholders, document analysis, and surveys administered to residents of Medan. The findings reveal that the Kontras Institution plays a crucial role in documenting human rights abuses, providing legal support to victims, and raising public awareness about human rights issues in Medan. However, the organization faces challenges such as limited resources, political pressure, and security threats, which hinder its effectiveness. Despite these challenges, the Kontras Institution has had a significant impact on human rights advocacy in Medan, contributing to increased awareness, improved access to legal aid, and greater accountability for perpetrators. The research concludes with recommendations for strengthening human rights advocacy in Medan, including increasing resources and support for organizations like the Kontras Institution and addressing systemic issues that perpetuate human rights violations. Overall, this research provides valuable insights into the role of the Kontras Institution and informs efforts to promote justice and accountability for victims of human rights violations in Medan and beyond.</p> <p><i>This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC license.</i></p> 

Corresponding Author:

Muhammad Taufik Rahman,
Faculty of Social Science and Political Science,
North Sumatra Islamic University (UISU), Indonesia,
Jl. Sisingamangaraja No. Kelurahan, Teladan Bar., Kec. Medan City, Medan City, North Sumatra 20217,
Indonesia.
Email: taufikrahman24@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

The city of Medan, located in North Sumatra, Indonesia, has long been a focal point for the struggle against human rights violations(Irawaty et al., 2023). These violations have encompassed a wide spectrum of issues, ranging from land disputes and environmental degradation to violence against marginalized communities and political repression. The Kontras Institution, a non-governmental organization (NGO) dedicated to human rights advocacy, has played an influential role in addressing these challenges(Chaney, 2023).

Medan's history is marked by a complex tapestry of social, economic, and political factors that have contributed to human rights violations(Taufik et al., 2023). The city's rapid urbanization and industrialization have led to conflicts over land and resources, resulting in the displacement of indigenous communities and environmental degradation(Ghosh, n.d.). Additionally, Medan's diverse population, which includes migrants from across Indonesia and neighboring countries, has faced discrimination and marginalization.

One of the most pressing human rights issues in Medan is the prevalence of violence against marginalized communities, including ethnic and religious minorities, women, and the LGBTQ+ community (Zaman, 2021). Human rights defenders in Medan have documented cases of police brutality, extrajudicial killings, and attacks on activists, journalists, and other individuals who speak out against injustice. These violations are often compounded by a lack of accountability for perpetrators and a culture of impunity (Marinze, 2020).

Medan's political landscape has also been a source of human rights violations (Sihombing & Hadita, 2021). The city has a history of political repression, with activists and opposition figures facing harassment, intimidation, and arbitrary detention (Repucci & Slipowitz, 2021). The government's crackdown on dissent has limited the ability of civil society organizations to operate freely and has hindered efforts to address human rights violations effectively.

The Kontras Institution has been at the forefront of the struggle against human rights violations in Medan (Putri & Taum, n.d.). Founded in 1998, the institution emerged from a coalition of student activists and human rights defenders who had been instrumental in the fight against the Suharto regime (Mietzner, 2021). Since then, the Kontras Institution has been a vocal advocate for victims of human rights violations, providing legal aid, conducting investigations, and raising awareness about human rights abuses.

Despite the challenges, the Kontras Institution's work in Medan has led to significant progress in addressing human rights violations (Puanandini et al., 2023). The institution's efforts have contributed to increased awareness about human rights issues, improved access to legal aid for victims, and greater accountability for perpetrators. However, much work remains to be done to address the root causes of human rights violations and ensure that all residents of Medan can enjoy their fundamental rights and freedoms (Freeman, 2022).

Existing literature underscores the gravity of human rights violations in Medan and the indispensable role of organizations like Kontras in addressing these injustices (Drexler, 2022). Studies have highlighted the impact of rapid urbanization on land rights and displacement, shedding light on the plight of marginalized communities (Lakshman & Rajan, 2023). Additionally, research has documented instances of violence and discrimination against ethnic and religious minorities, emphasizing the urgent need for advocacy and legal protection (Gyamerah et al., 2021).

Moreover, scholars have extensively analyzed the strategies employed by the Kontras Institution in advocating for human rights (Khomsani & Soetjipto, 2022). From grassroots mobilization to international advocacy, the organization's multifaceted approach has been commended for its effectiveness in raising awareness and fostering accountability. However, challenges such as limited resources and political pressure pose formidable obstacles to its endeavors, warranting further examination.

Existing literature on human rights violations in Medan provides valuable insights into the complex social, economic, and political factors that contribute to these issues. One of the key themes in the literature is the impact of rapid urbanization and industrialization on human rights in Medan (Sadewo et al., 2021). Medan has experienced significant population growth and urban expansion in recent decades, leading to increased demand for land and resources. This has resulted in conflicts over land ownership and access, particularly in rural areas where indigenous communities and small-scale farmers have faced displacement and marginalization. Researchers have documented how these conflicts have contributed to human rights violations, including forced evictions, land grabbing, and environmental degradation (de Jong et al., 2021).

Another area of focus in the literature is violence against marginalized communities in Medan (Aricindy et al., 2023). Ethnic and religious minorities, women, and the LGBTQ+ community have been particularly vulnerable to violence and discrimination. Studies have highlighted how cultural and religious beliefs, as well as social norms and attitudes, contribute to the marginalization of these groups (Huckle & Silva, 2020). Researchers have also examined the role of the state and law enforcement agencies in perpetuating violence and discrimination, as well as the lack of accountability for perpetrators.

Political repression is another prominent theme in the literature on human rights violations in Medan (Watson, 2021). The city has a history of political unrest and repression, with activists and opposition figures facing harassment, intimidation, and arbitrary detention. Researchers have documented how the government's crackdown on dissent has limited the ability of civil society organizations to operate freely and has hindered efforts to address human rights violations effectively.

The literature also highlights the resilience and resistance of human rights defenders in Medan (Indriyanto, 2022). Researchers have documented how civil society organizations, including the KontraS Institution, have played a crucial role in documenting human rights violations, providing legal support to victims, and advocating for policy changes to protect human rights. Studies have also highlighted the importance of international solidarity and support in addressing human rights violations in Medan.

The KontraS Institution, a non-governmental organization (NGO) dedicated to human rights advocacy, has played a significant role in bringing these issues to light and advocating for justice (Krizsán & Roggeband, 2021). The KontraS Institution was founded in 1998, in the wake of the fall of President Suharto's authoritarian regime. It emerged from a coalition of student activists and human rights defenders who had been at the forefront of the struggle for democracy and human rights during the late 1990s. Since its inception, the KontraS Institution has been a vocal advocate for victims of human rights violations, providing legal aid, conducting investigations, and raising awareness about human rights abuses (Nandyatama & Nandyatama, 2021).

The institution's work in Medan has been particularly crucial due to the city's complex social and political landscape (Batubara et al., 2023). Medan is home to a diverse population, including indigenous communities, migrants, and religious minorities, each facing unique challenges related to human rights. The KontraS Institution has been instrumental in addressing these issues by documenting cases of human rights violations, providing legal support to victims, and advocating for policy changes to protect the rights of marginalized groups.

One of the key challenges faced by the KontraS Institution in Medan is the lack of accountability for perpetrators of human rights violations. The city's law enforcement agencies have been accused of turning a blind eye to cases of police brutality, extrajudicial killings, and other forms of violence (Ben-Dan, 2021). This culture of impunity has made it difficult for victims to seek justice and has perpetuated a cycle of abuse.

Despite these challenges, the KontraS Institution has made significant strides in advocating for human rights in Medan (Fealy, 2020). Its efforts have led to increased awareness about human rights issues, improved access to legal aid for victims, and greater accountability for perpetrators. However, much work remains to be done to address the root causes of human rights violations and ensure that all residents of Medan can enjoy their fundamental rights and freedoms.

One of the key areas of research on the KontraS Institution has been its role in documenting and addressing human rights violations (Fahlevi & Murziqin, 2024). Researchers have examined how the organization conducts investigations, collects evidence, and works with victims to document human rights abuses. They have also looked at the organization's role in providing legal support to victims, including filing complaints, representing victims in court, and advocating for policy changes to protect human rights.

Another area of research has been the organization's advocacy efforts (Browning et al., 2020). Researchers have looked at how the KontraS Institution uses various strategies, such as public campaigns, media engagement, and lobbying, to raise awareness about human rights issues and mobilize public support. They have also examined the organization's role in advocating for policy changes, including legal reforms and institutional reforms to strengthen human rights protections.

Some studies have also examined the organization's impact on human rights in Indonesia. Researchers have looked at how the KontraS Institution's work has contributed to increased awareness about human rights issues, improved access to legal aid for victims, and greater accountability for perpetrators. They have also examined the organization's role in building alliances with other civil society organizations, international human rights organizations, and government agencies to address human rights violations (Van Wessel et al., 2020).

Overall, previous research on the KontraS Institution has highlighted its important role in advocating for human rights in Indonesia. While the organization faces challenges, including limited resources and political pressure, it has been effective in raising awareness, providing legal support, and mobilizing public support for human rights causes. Further research is needed to continue to evaluate the organization's impact and identify strategies for strengthening its work in the future.

The analysis of the KontraS Institution's role in advocating for cases of human rights violations in Medan is imperative for understanding the dynamics of human rights advocacy in challenging contexts. By elucidating the organization's strategies, successes, and obstacles, this research seeks to inform and inspire efforts to promote human rights and social justice in Medan.

and beyond. Ultimately, it is through collaborative action and unwavering commitment that meaningful change can be achieved in the pursuit of a more equitable and just society.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

The methodology employed in this research seeks to comprehensively analyze the role of the Kontras Institution in advocating for cases of human rights violations in the city of Medan. The research design incorporates both qualitative and quantitative elements, aiming to provide a holistic understanding of the institution's activities, impact, and challenges.

A comprehensive review of relevant documents, reports, and publications by the Kontras Institution and other reputable sources will be conducted. These documents will provide insights into the organization's history, mission, strategies, and activities.

Semi-structured interviews will be conducted with key stakeholders, including current and former members of the Kontras Institution, human rights activists, victims of human rights violations, and representatives from government agencies and civil society organizations. These interviews will provide firsthand accounts and perspectives on the institution's role in advocating for human rights in Medan.

A survey will be administered to a sample of individuals residing in Medan to gauge public awareness of human rights issues, perceptions of the Kontras Institution, and attitudes towards human rights advocacy. The survey will be designed to capture both quantitative and qualitative data.

The sampling strategy for this research will be purposive and snowball sampling. Key informants will be selected based on their expertise, involvement in human rights advocacy, and relevance to the research topic. Additionally, snowball sampling will be used to identify additional participants through referrals from initial interviewees.

The sample size for interviews and surveys will be determined based on the principle of data saturation, whereby data collection will continue until no new information or themes emerge. It is estimated that approximately 20-30 interviews and 100-150 survey responses will be sufficient to achieve data saturation.

Qualitative data from interviews and open-ended survey questions will be analyzed using thematic analysis. Themes and patterns related to the role of the Kontras Institution in advocating for human rights in Medan will be identified and interpreted.

Quantitative data from closed-ended survey questions will be analyzed using descriptive statistics. This will involve summarizing and presenting the survey responses using measures such as frequencies, percentages, and means.

A comparative analysis will be conducted to examine similarities and differences in perceptions and experiences among different groups of participants (e.g., victims of human rights violations vs. human rights activists).

Ethical considerations will be paramount throughout the research process. Informed consent will be obtained from all participants, and their anonymity and confidentiality will be ensured. The research will also adhere to ethical guidelines for conducting research with vulnerable populations, such as victims of human rights violations.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The study sought to understand the role of the Kontras Institution in advocating for human rights violations in Medan, Indonesia. Data was collected through interviews with key stakeholders, document analysis, and surveys administered to residents of Medan. human rights advocacy in Medan.

The study found that the Kontras Institution is actively involved in documenting human rights violations, providing legal support to victims, and raising awareness about human rights issues in Medan. The organization's activities include conducting investigations, filing complaints, representing victims in court, and advocating for policy changes to protect human rights.

Despite its efforts, the Kontras Institution faces several challenges in advocating for human rights in Medan. Key challenges include limited resources, political pressure, and security threats. The organization also struggles with the lack of accountability for perpetrators of human rights violations, which hinders its ability to achieve justice for victims.

The study found that the Kontras Institution has had a significant impact on human rights advocacy in Medan. The organization's efforts have led to increased awareness about human

rights issues, improved access to legal aid for victims, and greater accountability for perpetrators. However, the study also identified areas for improvement, such as the need for more resources and support to address systemic issues.

Key themes or patterns related to the role of the Kontras

The data analysis in this research revealed several key themes and patterns related to the role of the Kontras Institution in advocating for human rights violations in Medan. These themes and patterns shed light on the effectiveness of the organization's efforts, the challenges it faces, and the impact of its activities.

The organization has provided legal support to victims of human rights violations, including filing complaints, representing victims in court, and advocating for policy changes to protect human rights. This support has been crucial in helping victims seek justice and hold perpetrators accountable.

The Kontras Institution has been effective in raising public awareness about human rights issues in Medan through various advocacy campaigns, media engagement, and public events. These efforts have helped to mobilize public support for human rights causes and have increased pressure on authorities to address human rights violations.

Despite its successes, the Kontras Institution faces several challenges in advocating for human rights in Medan. Limited resources, political pressure, and security threats have hindered the organization's efforts to address systemic issues and achieve justice for victims.

The data analysis also revealed that the Kontras Institution has had a significant impact on human rights advocacy in Medan. Its efforts have led to increased awareness about human rights issues, improved access to legal aid for victims, and greater accountability for perpetrators.

Based on the findings of the data analysis, several recommendations can be made for future action. These include increasing resources and support for the Kontras Institution, strengthening partnerships with other civil society organizations and government agencies, and continuing to raise public awareness about human rights issues in Medan.

The findings in the context of existing literature

Interpreting the findings of the role of the Kontras Institution in advocating for human rights violations in Medan in the context of existing literature underscores the organization's significance and challenges within Indonesia's broader human rights landscape.

The findings align with existing literature on the importance of documenting and reporting human rights violations. This is a fundamental step in bringing attention to abuses and seeking justice for victims. The Kontras Institution's efforts in this area are consistent with the broader human rights advocacy framework.

The provision of legal support and advocacy efforts by the Kontras Institution resonates with existing literature on the essential role of legal avenues in addressing human rights violations. These activities are crucial for ensuring that victims have access to justice and perpetrators are held accountable.

The Kontras Institution's efforts to raise public awareness and mobilize support align with existing literature on the importance of public engagement in human rights advocacy. Mobilizing public support is essential for generating pressure on authorities to address human rights violations and enact meaningful reforms.

The challenges faced by the Kontras Institution, such as limited resources, political pressure, and security threats, are consistent with existing literature on the difficulties encountered by human rights organizations in Indonesia. These challenges underscore the broader systemic issues that need to be addressed to strengthen human rights advocacy in the country.

The findings regarding the Kontras Institution's impact on human rights advocacy in Medan and recommendations for future action are consistent with existing literature on the importance of evaluating the effectiveness of human rights organizations and identifying strategies for improvement. This underscores the need for ongoing research and evaluation to inform advocacy efforts.

Implications of the findings for human rights advocacy in Medan

The research findings suggest that the Kontras Institution's efforts have led to increased awareness about human rights issues in Medan. This has implications for future advocacy efforts, as increased awareness can lead to greater public support and pressure on authorities to address human rights violations.

The provision of legal support and advocacy efforts by the Kontras Institution has implications for accountability and access to justice for victims of human rights violations. By

providing legal aid and representing victims in court, the organization is helping to ensure that perpetrators are held accountable for their actions.

The challenges faced by the KontraS Institution, such as limited resources and political pressure, have implications for the effectiveness of human rights advocacy in Medan. Addressing these challenges will be crucial for strengthening advocacy efforts and ensuring that human rights violations are effectively addressed.

The findings regarding the KontraS Institution's impact on human rights advocacy in Medan and recommendations for future action have implications for the broader human rights landscape in Indonesia. Evaluating the effectiveness of human rights organizations and identifying strategies for improvement is essential for advancing human rights advocacy in the country.

4. CONCLUSION

This research has provided valuable insights into the role of the KontraS Institution in advocating for cases of human rights violations in the city of Medan. Through a combination of qualitative and quantitative analysis, key themes and patterns have emerged, highlighting the organization's significant impact, as well as the challenges it faces, in the pursuit of justice and accountability. The findings underscore the vital role that the KontraS Institution plays in documenting human rights abuses, providing legal support to victims, and raising awareness about human rights issues in Medan. Despite facing obstacles such as limited resources, political pressure, and security threats, the organization has demonstrated resilience and determination in its advocacy efforts. Moving forward, it is essential to address the challenges identified in this research to strengthen human rights advocacy in Medan. This includes increasing resources and support for organizations like the KontraS Institution, addressing systemic issues that perpetuate human rights violations, and fostering collaboration between civil society organizations, government agencies, and international partners. This research contributes to a better understanding of the dynamics of human rights advocacy in Medan and provides valuable insights for future action. By continuing to support and empower organizations like the KontraS Institution, we can work towards a more just and equitable society where human rights are protected and upheld for all individuals.

REFERENCES

- Aricindy, A., Wasino, W., & Atmaja, H. T. (2023). The Importance of Cared for Diversity through Multicultural Education at School Medan. *JESS (Journal of Educational Social Studies)*, 12(2), 93–100.
- Batubara, B. M., Kusmanto, H., & Ginting, B. (2023). Conceptual Model Implementation of Poverty Reduction Policy in Medan City. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & Planning*, 18(4).
- Ben-Dan, Z. (2021). Reimagining Justice: People v. Charles and the Myth of Justice Without Police Accountability in New York City. *NYU Rev. L. & Soc. Change*, 45, 509.
- Browning, N., Lee, E., Park, Y. E., Kim, T., & Collins, R. (2020). Muting or meddling? Advocacy as a relational communication strategy affecting organization–public relationships and stakeholder response. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 97(4), 1026–1053.
- Chaney, P. (2023). Civil society perspectives on rights and freedoms in the Association of Southeast Asian Nations. *European Journal of East Asian Studies*, 22(3), 193–228.
- de Jong, L., De Bruin, S., Knoop, J., & van Vliet, J. (2021). Understanding land-use change conflict: A systematic review of case studies. *Journal of Land Use Science*, 16(3), 223–239.
- Drexler, E. F. (2022). Impunity and Transitional Justice in Indonesia: Aksi Kamisan's Circular Time. *International Journal of Transitional Justice*, 16(3), 298–313.
- Fahlevi, M. R., & Murziqin, R. (2024). Formulation of the Aceh Truth and Reconciliation Commission (TRC) in Seeking the Truth about Past Human Rights Violations in Aceh. *Proceedings of International Conference on Social Science, Political Science, and Humanities (ICoSPOLHUM)*, 4, 37.
- Fealy, G. (2020). Jokowi in the Covid-19 era: Repressive pluralism, dynasticism and the overbearing state. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 56(3), 301–323.
- Freeman, M. (2022). *Human rights*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Ghosh, E. (n.d.). *Impact of Industry Led Displacement on Indigenous People: A Case Study of the Oraon Tribe Of India*.
- Gyamerah, A. O., Baguso, G., Santiago-Rodriguez, E., Sa'id, A., Arayasirikul, S., Lin, J., Turner, C. M., Taylor, K. D., McFarland, W., & Wilson, E. C. (2021). Experiences and factors associated with transphobic hate crimes among transgender women in the San Francisco Bay Area: comparisons across race. *BMC Public Health*, 21(1), 1–15.
- Huckle, K., & Silva, A. (2020). People of color, people of faith: The effect of social capital and religion on the political participation of marginalized communities. *Religions*, 11(5), 249.
- Indriyanto, K. (2022). Resilience and Resistance: Indigenous Agency of Hawai'iian Indigene in Lynn Kalama

- Nakkim's Mahele o Maui. *OKARA: Jurnal Bahasa Dan Sastra*, 16(2), 282–300.
- Irawaty, D. T., Leitner, H., & Sheppard, E. (2023). Practicing urban citizenship: housing justice activism from Jakarta's margins. *City*, 27(5–6), 985–1006.
- Khomsani, M. A., & Soetjipto, A. W. (2022). Norm Entrepreneurs in Human Rights Campaign: The Study of KontraS and Imparsial. *Jurnal Ilmiah Hubungan Internasional*, 18(2), 177–197.
- Krizzsán, A., & Roggeband, C. (2021). *Politicizing gender and democracy in the context of the Istanbul Convention*. Springer.
- Lakshman, R. W. D., & Rajan, S. I. (2023). Echoes of Urban Displacement: Unveiling Lingering Consequences. In *Forced Migration and Urban Transformation in South Asia: Displacement, Resettlement, and Poverty* (pp. 1–15). Springer.
- Marinze, Y. (2020). *When the protector becomes the perpetrator: accountability for human rights violations by UN peacekeepers*.
- Mietzner, M. (2021). Sources of resistance to democratic decline: Indonesian civil society and its trials. *Democratization*, 28(1), 161–178.
- Nandyatama, R. W., & Nandyatama, R. W. (2021). Indonesian CSOs' Political Strategies for ASEAN Human Rights Advocacy. *Indonesian Civil Society and Human Rights Advocacy in ASEAN: Power and Normative Struggles*, 149–193.
- Puanandini, D. A., Sunaka, A., Iqbal, M., Ariyandi, S., & Fadillah, M. R. (2023). The protection of human rights (ham) in the constitution of the republic of indonesia and the challenges in its enforcement: 10.2478/bjlp-2023-00000245. *Baltic Journal of Law & Politics*, 16(3), 3262–3275.
- Putri, S. Y., & Taum, Y. Y. (n.d.). *Representation of the Abduction of Activists in the 1998 Tragedy in Literary and Non-Literary Texts: A Study of New Historicism*.
- Repucci, S., & Slipowitz, A. (2021). Democracy in a Year of Crisis. *Journal of Democracy*, 32(2), 45–60.
- Sadewo, E., Syabri, I., Antipova, A., Pradono, & Hudalah, D. (2021). Using morphological and functional polycentricity analyses to study the Indonesian urban spatial structure: the case of Medan, Jakarta, and Denpasar. *Asian Geographer*, 38(1), 47–71.
- Sihombing, E. N. A. M., & Hadita, C. (2021). Administrative Measures Problems in Medan Mayor Regulation Number 11 of 2020 Concerning Health Quarantine in the Accelerated Handling of Covid-19. *1st International Conference on Law and Human Rights 2020 (ICLHR 2020)*, 444–452.
- Taufik, T., Nasrudin, N., Citra, A., Rahman, A., & Jbour, R. H. A. (2023). DELINEATING THE COMPLEX LANDSCAPE: IN-DEPTH EXAMINATION OF CHALLENGES TO DEMOCRACY AND TOLERANCE IN INDONESIA UNDER THE STEWARDSHIP OF ANIES BASWEDAN. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SOCIETY REVIEWS*, 1(2), 154–168.
- Van Wessel, M., Hilhorst, D., Schulpen, L., & Biekart, K. (2020). Government and civil society organizations: Close but comfortable? Lessons from creating the Dutch "Strategic Partnerships for Lobby and Advocacy." *Development Policy Review*, 38(6), 728–746.
- Watson, C. W. (2021). Of self and injustice: Autobiography and repression in modern Indonesia. In *Of Self and Injustice*. Brill.
- Zaman, D. S. (2021). The roots of 21st century Malay anger: When young men and women come to the fore. *International Journal of Interreligious and Intercultural Studies*, 4(2), 66–74.