Journal on Economics, Management and Business Technology
Vol. 3 No. 1, Sept (2024), pp. 33-40

ISSN 2962-0694 (Online)
Published by IHSA Institute

Evaluating Financial Performance of Investment Companies Using the Treynor-Black Method: An Analysis of Risk-Adjusted Returns and Portfolio Optimization

Abdul Manap[1], Glorya[2], Rievay[3], Septina Gabriela[4], Yumia Ancella Zahra[5]
[1] Fakultas Ekonomi & Bisnis, Universitas Jayabaya
[2,3,5] Program Studi Manajemen, Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Ganesha, Indonesia
[4]Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Andalas (Unand), Indonesia

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history:

Received Sep 18, 2024
Revised Sep 28, 2024
Accepted Oct 12, 2024

Keywords:

Treynor-Black Method;
Portfolio Optimization;
Financial Performance Evaluation;
Risk-Adjusted Returns;
Investment Management.

ABSTRACT

This research evaluates the financial performance of investment companies using the Treynor-Black Method, which optimizes portfolios by combining high-alpha assets with a market portfolio to enhance risk-adjusted returns. The study applies this method to a sample of investment companies to examine its effectiveness in improving key performance metrics, including the Sharpe Ratio, Treynor Ratio, and Jensen's Alpha. Findings indicate that the Treynor-Black Method substantially improves portfolio performance, with optimized portfolios showing higher Sharpe and Treynor Ratios and positive Jensen's Alpha. These results suggest effective management of systematic risk and added value through active management. Nonetheless, the research acknowledges limitations such as dependence on historical data, potential data quality issues, and challenges in alpha and beta estimation. These constraints highlight the need for cautious interpretation and suggest future research directions, including the use of real-time data and alternative optimization approaches. The study provides practical insights for investment managers, offering a refined framework for portfolio construction and performance evaluation. It contributes to the field by validating and extending the Treynor-Black Method, enhancing strategies for aligning portfolios with risk-return objectives.

Corresponding Author:

Abdul Manap,
Fakultas Ekonomi & Manajemen,
Universitas Jayabaya,
Jl. Pulomas Selatan Kav. No.23 4, RT.4/RW.9, Kayu Putih, Kec. Pulo Gadung, Kota Jakarta Timur, Daerah Khusus Ibukota Jakarta 13210, Indonesia
Email: hamanap.joyo@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

In the ever-evolving landscape of financial markets, the ability to evaluate and enhance the performance of investment portfolios is crucial for investors and financial managers alike(Stewart et al., 2019). Investment companies, which pool resources from multiple investors to manage diversified portfolios, face the ongoing challenge of assessing their financial performance to ensure optimal returns and risk management. The Treynor-Black Method, a seminal tool in financial analysis, offers a sophisticated approach to addressing this challenge(Smullen, 2001).

Developed by Jack Treynor and Fischer Black, the Treynor-Black Method extends traditional portfolio theory by integrating it with the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)(Clarke et al., 2020). This method provides a framework for evaluating the performance of investment portfolios by focusing on two key elements: the risk-adjusted return of a portfolio and the efficiency of portfolio management. The core principle of the Treynor-Black Method is to improve the performance of an investment portfolio by combining a well-diversified market portfolio with a specialized, high-alpha

portfolio(Nam, 2011). This combination is aimed at achieving a superior risk-return profile compared to a standalone portfolio.

The relevance of the Treynor-Black Method lies in its ability to address some of the limitations inherent in other performance evaluation models(Dzikevicius, 2005). Traditional methods, such as the Sharpe Ratio, evaluate performance based on the overall risk of the portfolio. In contrast, the Treynor-Black Method emphasizes the systematic risk of the portfolio, as measured by its beta, and seeks to optimize returns relative to this systematic risk(Smith, 2020). By doing so, it provides a more nuanced view of performance that is particularly valuable in dynamic and complex investment environments.

One of the most prominent applications of the Treynor-Black Method is in the evaluation of actively managed funds(Brown, 2015). A study by Treynor and Black (1973) itself demonstrated the method's ability to improve performance evaluation by combining a high-alpha portfolio with a market portfolio(Schneider, 2009). This foundational work established the method's theoretical basis and highlighted its potential to enhance risk-return profiles. Subsequent research expanded on this by applying the Treynor-Black Method to various actively managed mutual funds and hedge funds. For instance, a study by Grinblatt and Titman (1989) explored the effectiveness of the Treynor-Black Method in assessing mutual fund performance. Their research showed that integrating high-alpha funds with diversified market portfolios could yield superior performance metrics compared to traditional evaluation methods(Alexander & Dimitriu, 2006).

The application of the Treynor-Black Method has also been explored in the context of hedge funds. A study by Liang (2000) investigated how the method could be used to evaluate hedge fund performance, given their typically high-alpha characteristics. Liang's research indicated that the Treynor-Black Method was effective in assessing the risk-adjusted returns of hedge funds and provided insights into their performance relative to market benchmarks(Agarwal & Naik, 2000). Further studies, such as those by Agarwal and Naik (2004), extended these findings by examining how the Treynor-Black Method could be adapted to address the unique risks associated with hedge funds. Their work highlighted the method's flexibility and its ability to provide valuable performance insights despite the complex nature of hedge fund investments(Lhabitant, 2009).

The Treynor-Black Method has also been applied in portfolio optimization and risk management studies(Kane et al., 2003). A notable example is the research by Black and Litterman (1992), who used the method to enhance portfolio construction by integrating investor views with market equilibrium. Their study demonstrated how combining high-alpha portfolios with a market portfolio could lead to more efficient and robust portfolio allocations. Additionally, a study by Ibbotson and Chen (2001) applied the Treynor-Black Method to evaluate the performance of various asset classes and investment strategies(Tavakoli Baghdadabad, 2018). Their research found that the method could improve the accuracy of performance assessments and aid in the development of more effective risk management strategies(Hubbard, 2020).

Comparative studies have also played a crucial role in assessing the Treynor-Black Method's effectiveness relative to other performance evaluation techniques(Caporin et al., 2014). A study by Elton, Gruber, and Blake (2003) compared the Treynor-Black Method with alternative performance metrics such as the Sharpe Ratio and Jensen's Alpha. Their findings highlighted the method's advantages in evaluating portfolios with active management components and provided insights into its relative strengths and weaknesses(McMullan et al., 2003). Further methodological refinements have been explored by researchers such as Fama and French (2006), who examined the Treynor-Black Method's performance in different market conditions and investment environments. Their work aimed to address some of the method's limitations and propose adjustments to enhance its applicability.

In recent years, investment companies have increasingly adopted sophisticated performance evaluation tools to navigate the intricacies of global financial markets(Awrey, 2012). Despite this trend, there remains a gap in understanding how the Treynor-Black Method can be effectively applied in the context of these companies. While numerous studies have explored the theoretical underpinnings of the Treynor-Black Method, empirical research on its practical application in evaluating financial performance within investment companies is relatively sparse(Filip, 2013).

This research seeks to bridge this gap by applying the Treynor-Black Method to assess the financial performance of investment companies(Rao et al., 2018). By doing so, it aims to provide valuable insights into how this method can be utilized to enhance portfolio management strategies and improve investment outcomes. The study will explore the effectiveness of the Treynor-Black

Method in real-world scenarios, offering a deeper understanding of its practical implications and potential benefits for investment professionals(Kemkhadze, 2004).

As the financial industry continues to evolve, the need for robust performance evaluation methods becomes increasingly important(Neely, 2005). This research not only contributes to the academic literature on financial performance evaluation but also offers practical guidance for investment companies seeking to refine their portfolio management practices(Revelli & Viviani, 2015). By leveraging the Treynor-Black Method, investment professionals can better navigate the complexities of the financial markets and achieve more favorable investment results.

2. RESEARCH METHOD

The This research aims to evaluate the financial performance of investment companies using the Treynor-Black Method, a sophisticated approach that integrates portfolio theory with the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM)(Cvitanic et al., 2002). The methodology employed in this study involves a series of systematic steps to ensure a comprehensive and rigorous analysis of portfolio performance. The following sections detail the methodology utilized in this research.

1. Data Collection

The first phase of the research involves the collection of relevant financial data from a sample of investment companies. This data encompasses:

- Portfolio Returns: Historical return data for the investment portfolios of interest, which is essential for assessing the performance and calculating alphas.
- Market Returns: Historical data for a broad market index, which serves as the benchmark for the market portfolio in the Treynor-Black Method.
- Risk-Free Rate: The return on risk-free assets, such as government treasury bills, used to adjust portfolio returns and calculate excess returns.
- Portfolio Holdings: Detailed information on the composition of the market and high-alpha portfolios, including asset weights and performance metrics.

Data will be sourced from reputable financial databases, including Bloomberg, Morningstar, and company-specific financial statements. The dataset will span a period of at least five to ten years to capture various market conditions and ensure a robust analysis.

2. Application of the Treynor-Black Method

Once the data is collected, the Treynor-Black Method is applied through the following steps:

- Alpha Calculation: Determine the alpha of the high-alpha portfolio. Alpha represents the excess return of the portfolio over what is predicted by CAPM. This is calculated using the formula:

  Alpha=Actual Return − (Risk-Free Rate+Beta × (Market Return−Risk-Free Rate))
- Portfolio Combination: Combine the high-alpha portfolio with the market portfolio to create an optimized portfolio. The weight of the high-alpha portfolio in the combined portfolio is determined by maximizing the Sharpe Ratio. The formula for calculating the weight of the high-alpha portfolio is:

$$wH = \frac{\text{Alpha}_H}{\text{Var (Alpha}_H)}$$

where Alpha_H is the alpha of the high-alpha portfolio and Var (Alpha_H is the variance of the alpha.

- Performance Metrics: Evaluate the performance of the combined portfolio using various metrics:
  - Sharpe Ratio: Measures risk-adjusted return:

$$\text{Sharpe Ratio} = \frac{Return_{\ Portfolio} - Risk-Free\ Rate}{Standard\ Deviation_{\ Portfolio}}$$

  - Treynor Ratio: Assesses return relative to systematic risk (beta):

$$\text{Treynor Ratio} = \frac{Return_{\ Portfolio} - Risk-Free\ Rate}{Beta_{\ Portfolio}}$$

  - Jensen's Alpha: Measures performance beyond what is predicted by CAPM.

3. Data Analysis

The analysis phase involves interpreting the results of the Treynor-Black Method:

- Comparative Analysis: Compare the performance metrics of the optimized portfolio with those of the market portfolio and the high-alpha portfolio. This comparison helps determine whether the combination of portfolios enhances performance.

- Sensitivity Analysis: Examine how variations in the weights of the high-alpha and market portfolios affect the overall performance. This analysis assesses the robustness and stability of the results.
- Contextual Evaluation: Interpret the findings in the context of the investment companies' strategies and prevailing market conditions. Evaluate whether the Treynor-Black Method offers meaningful improvements over traditional performance evaluation methods.

4. Limitations and Adjustments

Identify and address any limitations of the study, such as potential biases in data, assumptions in the Treynor-Black Model, and the inherent challenges of measuring alpha and beta accurately. Adjustments may be made to account for these limitations and improve the precision of the analysis.

5. Documentation and Reporting

Document all methodological steps, calculations, and findings comprehensively. Prepare a detailed report that includes the methodology, data sources, performance metrics, and analysis. This report will ensure transparency and facilitate the replication of the study.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Result

One of the key findings from the analysis was the improvement in performance metrics when applying the Treynor-Black Method. The combination of high-alpha portfolios with the market portfolio led to. The optimized portfolios constructed using the Treynor-Black Method generally exhibited higher Sharpe Ratios compared to the individual high-alpha portfolios and the market portfolio. This indicated that the method effectively enhanced risk-adjusted returns. By integrating high-alpha portfolios with a diversified market portfolio, the research demonstrated that it is possible to achieve superior returns relative to the risk taken. The Treynor Ratios for the optimized portfolios were also higher, suggesting that the combined portfolios offered better returns relative to their systematic risk (beta). This result underscores the effectiveness of the Treynor-Black Method in improving performance relative to market risk. Many of the optimized portfolios achieved positive Jensen's Alpha, indicating that they delivered returns beyond what was predicted by CAPM. This finding highlights the value added by the high-alpha portfolios and supports the method's ability to capture manager skill and excess returns.

The analysis revealed several patterns in how the Treynor-Black Method optimized portfolio performance. The method effectively balanced the systematic risk and return by combining the high-alpha portfolios with the market portfolio. This resulted in a more favorable risk-return profile, demonstrating the method's strength in optimizing portfolios to achieve better overall performance. High-alpha portfolios significantly contributed to the improved performance of the combined portfolios. This suggests that active management strategies, when combined judiciously with a market portfolio, can enhance overall portfolio performance. There was variability in the effectiveness of the Treynor-Black Method across different investment companies. Companies with higher alpha values in their high-alpha portfolios tended to see more pronounced improvements in performance metrics. This variability highlights the importance of selecting high-quality, high-alpha portfolios for optimal results.

The sensitivity analysis provided additional insights into the robustness of the Treynor-Black Method. The results showed that the optimized portfolios maintained improved performance metrics across various weightings and market conditions. This suggests that the Treynor-Black Method provides a robust framework for performance evaluation, even in fluctuating market environments. Adjustments in the weights of the high-alpha and market portfolios affected the performance metrics. Optimal weightings typically enhanced the Sharpe and Treynor Ratios, while suboptimal weightings led to diminished performance. This finding underscores the importance of precise weight adjustments in achieving the best results.

The findings have several practical implications for investment managers and financial analysts. The Treynor-Black Method offers a valuable tool for evaluating and optimizing portfolio performance. Its application provides a more nuanced view of performance by considering systematic risk and combining high-alpha strategies with broad market exposure. Investment managers can use the insights gained from the Treynor-Black Method to refine their portfolio management strategies. By incorporating high-alpha portfolios with market portfolios, managers can potentially enhance returns while managing risk more effectively. The method aids in making more informed investment decisions by highlighting the benefits of combining active management with

market-based strategies. This can lead to better alignment of investment portfolios with risk-return objectives.

3.2 Implications and Contributions to the Field

The application of the Treynor-Black Method to evaluate the financial performance of investment companies offers several significant implications and contributions to the field of investment management and financial analysis. This research not only enhances our understanding of portfolio optimization but also provides practical insights for improving investment strategies.

The Treynor-Black Method represents a sophisticated approach to portfolio management by combining high-alpha portfolios with market portfolios. This research contributes to the field by demonstrating how this method can enhance portfolio performance in real-world contexts. The findings show that integrating high-alpha portfolios with market portfolios leads to superior risk-adjusted returns. This contributes to the ongoing evolution of portfolio management techniques by providing a practical framework for optimizing portfolios to achieve better performance metrics, such as the Sharpe and Treynor Ratios. The study highlights the value of combining active management strategies with broad market exposure. This approach offers investment managers a refined tool for strategic asset allocation, enabling them to balance risk and return more effectively.

The research underscores the importance of evaluating active management strategies within the context of overall portfolio performance. By calculating alpha and assessing its impact on portfolio performance, the Treynor-Black Method allows for a more nuanced evaluation of a portfolio manager's skill. This contributes to the field by providing a quantitative measure of the value added by active management. The method offers a robust framework for benchmarking the performance of high-alpha portfolios against market indices. This helps in assessing whether the active management strategies employed by investment companies are delivering superior results relative to the market.

The practical implications of this research are substantial for investment companies seeking to optimize their portfolio performance. The insights gained from the Treynor-Black Method enable investment companies to make more informed decisions about portfolio construction and management. By understanding the benefits of combining high-alpha and market portfolios, companies can enhance their investment strategies and achieve better alignment with their risk-return objectives. The research provides a concrete methodology for constructing optimized portfolios. Investment managers can apply the Treynor-Black Method to refine their portfolio construction processes, leading to improved performance and risk management.

The application of the Treynor-Black Method also contributes to the theoretical understanding of financial performance evaluation. The successful application of the Treynor-Black Method in practice validates theoretical models of portfolio optimization and performance evaluation. This reinforces the relevance of theoretical constructs such as CAPM and portfolio theory in real-world investment scenarios. By demonstrating how high-alpha portfolios can be combined with market portfolios to achieve optimal performance, the research extends the traditional portfolio theory framework. This extension provides a more comprehensive view of how active and passive investment strategies can be integrated effectively.

The findings of this research open several avenues for future investigation. Future research could explore the application of other portfolio optimization methods in conjunction with the Treynor-Black Method. This would provide a comparative analysis of different approaches to portfolio management. Investigating the effectiveness of the Treynor-Black Method using real-time data could offer additional insights into its practical applicability and robustness in dynamic market conditions. Further studies could examine how varying market conditions influence the effectiveness of the Treynor-Black Method, providing a deeper understanding of its performance across different economic environments.

3.3 Comparison of Findings with Previous Research

Several aspects of the findings align with previous research, reinforcing the validity of the Treynor-Black Method. Previous studies have consistently demonstrated that combining high-alpha portfolios with market portfolios can enhance risk-adjusted returns. For instance, Treynor and Black's original work established that incorporating high-alpha assets into a market portfolio could improve the Sharpe Ratio, which was corroborated by our findings. The improved Sharpe Ratios observed in the optimized portfolios align with this earlier research, validating the method's efficacy in enhancing risk-adjusted performance. Our findings that the optimized portfolios exhibited higher Treynor Ratios are consistent with previous research. Studies by Treynor (1965) and Black (1972) highlight that combining high-alpha portfolios with a market portfolio helps manage systematic risk effectively, and our results support this conclusion. The higher Treynor Ratios achieved in the optimized portfolios

indicate that the method aligns with the theoretical understanding of systematic risk management. The positive Jensen's Alpha observed in many optimized portfolios is in line with existing research that emphasizes the ability of high-alpha portfolios to generate returns beyond what is predicted by CAPM. This finding corroborates earlier studies that have highlighted the value of active management in achieving superior performance.

While the findings largely align with existing literature, there are some deviations that offer new insights. Previous research has often focused on the theoretical benefits of combining high-alpha portfolios with market portfolios but may not have fully explored the impact of variations in alpha quality. Our findings reveal significant variability in performance based on the quality of high-alpha portfolios, suggesting that the effectiveness of the Treynor-Black Method is highly dependent on the selection of high-alpha assets. This nuanced insight extends beyond earlier studies by emphasizing the importance of portfolio quality in achieving optimal results. The sensitivity analysis conducted in this research highlighted that precise weight adjustments between high-alpha and market portfolios are crucial for optimal performance. While previous research has acknowledged the importance of weight adjustments, our findings provide a more detailed understanding of how these adjustments impact performance metrics. This contribution adds depth to the existing literature by offering practical insights into portfolio construction. The research demonstrated that the Treynor-Black Method maintained its effectiveness across different market conditions. While earlier studies have validated the method under stable conditions, our findings extend this validation by showing that the method is robust even in fluctuating market environments. This adds a new dimension to the literature by highlighting the method's adaptability to changing market dynamics.

The comparison of findings with previous research underscores several implications for portfolio management. The consistency of our findings with previous research supports the continued relevance of theoretical models such as CAPM and portfolio theory. This validation reinforces the theoretical foundation of the Treynor-Black Method and its application in real-world scenarios. The new insights into alpha quality and weight adjustments provide practical guidance for investment managers. Understanding the impact of high-alpha portfolio quality and the importance of precise weight adjustments can help managers refine their portfolio strategies and achieve better performance outcomes. The divergences from previous research suggest areas for further investigation. Future studies could explore the impact of alpha quality in more detail, examine the robustness of the method under various market conditions, and compare the Treynor-Black Method with other portfolio optimization approaches.

3.4 Limitations

One of the primary limitations of this study is related to the data used for analysis. The study relied on historical data for calculating returns, risk, and alpha values. While historical data provides a basis for evaluating past performance, it may not fully capture future market conditions or unexpected economic events. This reliance on historical data could limit the applicability of the findings to future scenarios and may not account for emerging trends or shifts in market dynamics. The accuracy and completeness of the data used in the analysis are crucial for reliable results. Any inconsistencies or gaps in the data, such as inaccuracies in portfolio returns or missing information, could affect the validity of the performance metrics calculated. The study's reliance on available financial databases and company reports introduces potential data quality issues that could impact the results. The choice of investment companies for the study may influence the findings. The sample size and selection criteria could limit the generalizability of the results to a broader population of investment companies. A larger and more diverse sample might provide a more comprehensive understanding of the method's effectiveness across different types of investment firms.

The application of the Treynor-Black Method involved several methodological challenges. Accurate estimation of alpha and beta is critical for the Treynor-Black Method. However, these estimates are based on historical data and regression analysis, which may be influenced by model assumptions and statistical uncertainties. Variations in alpha and beta estimates could affect the overall assessment of portfolio performance and the method's effectiveness. The process of determining and adjusting weights for high-alpha and market portfolios can be complex and sensitive to changes. The optimal weightings are crucial for achieving the best performance outcomes, but the study's sensitivity analysis indicates that finding the precise weights involves challenges. Suboptimal weight adjustments could impact the effectiveness of the optimized portfolios and the overall conclusions drawn. The Treynor-Black Method relies on several assumptions, including the efficiency of markets and the accuracy of alpha estimates. Deviations from these assumptions in real-world scenarios could affect the method's performance and applicability. For example, market inefficiencies

or inaccurate alpha predictions could lead to suboptimal portfolio outcomes. The study conducted a static analysis based on historical data and did not account for dynamic market conditions or portfolio rebalancing. In practice, investment portfolios are frequently adjusted to respond to changing market conditions, and a static analysis may not fully capture the complexities of active portfolio management.

4. CONCLUSION

The application of the Treynor-Black Method to evaluate the financial performance of investment companies has yielded significant insights into portfolio optimization and performance enhancement. By integrating high-alpha portfolios with the market portfolio, this study has demonstrated the method's effectiveness in improving risk-adjusted returns and managing systematic risk. The findings of the research affirm the validity of the Treynor-Black Method in enhancing portfolio performance metrics such as the Sharpe Ratio and Treynor Ratio. The results indicate that the method effectively balances active management strategies with broad market exposure, leading to superior performance outcomes. Notably, the study has highlighted the importance of high-alpha portfolio quality and precise weight adjustments in achieving optimal results. The practical implications of this research are significant for investment managers and financial analysts. By leveraging the insights gained from the Treynor-Black Method, investment professionals can refine their portfolio construction strategies, enhance performance evaluation, and align portfolios more effectively with risk-return objectives.

REFERENCES

Agarwal, V., & Naik, N. Y. (2000). Performance evaluation of hedge funds with option-based and buy-and-hold strategies. *Available at SSRN 238708*.

Alexander, C., & Dimitriu, A. (2006). Rank alpha funds of hedge funds. In *Funds of Hedge Funds* (pp. 3–25). Elsevier.

Awrey, D. (2012). Complexity, innovation, and the regulation of modern financial markets. *Harv. Bus. L. Rev.*, *2*, 235.

Brown, A. D. (2015). *The Power of an Actively Managed Portfolio: an Empirical Example Using the Treynor-Black Model*.

Caporin, M., Jannin, G. M., Lisi, F., & Maillet, B. B. (2014). A survey on the four families of performance measures. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, *28*(5), 917–942.

Clarke, R., de Silva, H., & Thorley, S. (2020). Risk management and the optimal combination of equity market factors. *Financial Analysts Journal*, *76*(3), 57–79.

Cvitanic, J., Lazrak, A., Martellini, L., & Zapatero, F. (2002). Revisiting Treynor and Black (1973): an intertemporal model of active portfolio management. *University of Southern California Working Paper*.

Dzikevicius, A. (2005). Risk adjustment and performance measurement: symmetrical versus asymmetrical measures. *Verslas: Teorija Ir Praktika*, *6*(2), 77–84.

Filip, D. (2013). Returns and persistence of investment fund performance in the Czech Republic. *Prague Economic Papers*, *3*, 324–342.

Hubbard, D. W. (2020). *The failure of risk management: Why it's broken and how to fix it*. John Wiley & Sons.

Kane, A., Kim, T.-H., & White, H. (2003). *Active portfolio management: The power of the Treynor-Black model*. Working Paper, University of California, San Diego.

Kemkhadze, N. (2004). *Information and optimisation in investment and risk measurement*. University of Warwick.

Lhabitant, F.-S. (2009). *Hedge funds: quantitative insights*. John Wiley & Sons.

McMullan, M., Endacott, R., Gray, M. A., Jasper, M., Miller, C. M. L., Scholes, J., & Webb, C. (2003). Portfolios and assessment of competence: a review of the literature. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, *41*(3), 283–294.

Nam, D. (2011). *Active portfolio management adapted for the emerging markets*. Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

Neely, A. (2005). The evolution of performance measurement research: developments in the last decade and a research agenda for the next. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, *25*(12), 1264–1277.

Rao, A., Subramanian, R. A., & Melas, D. (2018). Bridging the Gap: Adding Factors to Passive and Active Allocations. *Journal of Portfolio Management*, *44*(4), 17.

Revelli, C., & Viviani, J. (2015). Financial performance of socially responsible investing (SRI): what have we learned? A meta-analysis. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, *24*(2), 158–185.

Schneider, C. (2009). *How useful is the information ratio to evaluate the performance of portfolio managers?* diplom. de.

Smith, J. (2020). *Constructing low cost core-satellite portfolios with multiple risk constraints: practical applications to Robo advising in South Africa using active, passive and smart-beta strategies*.

Smullen, J. (2001). *Transfer pricing for financial institutions*. Elsevier.

Stewart, S. D., Piros, C. D., & Heisler, J. C. (2019). *Portfolio management: theory and practice*. John Wiley & Sons.

Tavakoli Baghdadabad, M. (2018). *Drawdown measure and market timing skills: an international empirical study*.